Single Bench

(1) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 538 OF 2005

PETITIONER

Sunil Kumar Shrivastava, son of Shri H. N. Shrivastava, aged about 35 years, Working as a Mechanical Helper Category-II, S.E.C.L. Bhatgaon Colliery, Resident of North Ringh Road, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 555/05
Presented by Shri A. S. Vishwakarma
dated 11-02-05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. South Eastern Coal Fields Limited, Through: The Chief General Manager, Bhatgaon Colliery, District Surguja (C.G.).

2. The Chief Personal Manager, S.E.C.L. Bhatgaon Colliery, District Surguja (C.G.).

3. The Sub Area Manager, Kalyani, District Surguja (C.G.).

4. The Mines Superintendent, Shivani Project, Bhatgaon Colliery, District Surguja (C.G.).

प्रमाणित आयुक्त
विलासपुर (छ.ग.)
विलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

Rle
Issuance copy
Made
Accordingly
P-S-Thakur
11.2.05

(2) (5)

5. The Mines Engineer, Shivani Project, Bhatgaon, District Surguja (C.G.).

6. Lalan son of Shri Gyano, Working as a Fitter (Category-IV), Shivani Project, Bhatgaon, District Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.

XI-HC-22

(58)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 538/2005 **सन् 200**

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

07-03-2005.

Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that though the work of Category-IV was taken from the petitioner for a very ong period, but no benefit of that post has been given to him inspite of filing various representations in this regard. He further submits that even in the matter of promotion, the case of the petitioner has not been considered for Category-IV and the persons working under the petitioner have been promoted. Learned counsel also submits that at present the petition may be disposed of and the petitioner may be permitted to file a detailed representation raising all his grievances before the competent authority and the competent authority may be directed to dispose of the same in accordance with law within a stipulated period.

This prayer seems to be reasonable.

Accordingly, it is directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation raising all his grievances before the competent authority along with a copy of the petition and this order within a period of 15 days and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same within a period of 60 days from the date of filing of the said representation by passing a reasoned order on each and every point raised by the petitioner.

With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
SUNIL KUMAR SINHA
Judge

(illegible)

Sussy
10.3.05